



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/823,300 03/29/2001		03/29/2001	Eric Koenig	MULTI-TASK- CELL PHONE	6848		
4988	7590	01/23/2006		EXA	EXAMINER		
ALFRED I			ABDULSEL	ABDULSELAM, ABBAS I			
MELVILLE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•			2677			

DATE MAILED: 01/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary			300	KOENIG					
			er	Art Unit					
		Abbas I.	Abdulselam	2677					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on ti	ne cover sheet with the	e correspondence a	ddress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF T CFR 1.136(a). In no e ion. period will apply and y statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	23 December	2005						
'—	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
-,-	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims		,,						
4)⊠									
	 ✓ Claim(s) 7,10-13,16,17 and 19-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	5)								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	rojootou.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election	requirement						
	•	and/or cicolion	requirement.						
Applicati —	on Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	ce Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
- 7-	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have be	en received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
			·						
Attachment	t(s)								
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa						
_	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5	•	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
	r No(s)/Mail Date	<i></i>	6) Other:		·				

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/23/05 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed on 12/23/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the cited references, Lebby et al. and Ryuji alone or in combination do not teach a newly amended claim limitation stating as "at least one additional screen slidable outward axially along the side to side axis of the first screen ".

However as shown in the art rejection below, Ryuji teaches a multi-screen display device (100) including a display unit (20) that is moved to freely slide in a lateral direction on the upper surface of the display unit (10) arranged under the display unit (20) by rotating the mechanisms 21a, 21b and 21c (see the abstract, the last sentence and Fig. 1 (100, 20, 10)). In response to applicant's argument that Ryuji's display instead slides perpendicular to the axis of the screen component and it slides inward, the examiner maintains that different sliding angles and directions with respect to the sliding display are obvious choice in design (also see In re Stevens, 101 USPQ 284 (CCPA 1954)). Thus one of ordinary skill in the art would have ascertained that Ryuji's slidable display could be made in various orientations.

Application/Control Number: 09/823,300 Page 3

Art Unit: 2677

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 10-13, 16-17 and 19-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Lebby et al. (US Patent 6158884) in view of Ryuji (Japanese Publication # 11-

095318).

As to claims 7, 28 and 34, Lebby et al. teaches a handheld wireless telecommunications

unit displaying images to a user (integrated communicative watch as portable electronic

equipment, column 1, lines 1-9, cellular phone, column 6, lines 58-62) comprising: a hand-held

body having a keypad (wristband 26 with numeric keypad 28 as shown in figure 1 A); a display

on an upper face of said body comprising a first screen having a side to side axis (watch face 14

shown in figure IA, column 3, lines 31-33)

Lebby does not teach "at least one additional screen slidable outward axially along the

side to side axis of the first screen along tracks mounted on respective opposite edges of said first

screen, said at least one additional screen being movable between a first position, wherein the at

least one additional screen is stored under said first screen and a second deployed position

wherein said at least one additional screen extends outward and forms a continuous screen with

Art Unit: 2677

said first screen; and grasping handle extending from a distal edge of said at least one additional screen accessible when said at least one additional screen is stored under said first screen for pulling said at least one additional screen from said first position to said second deployed position".

Ryuji on the other hand teaches a multi-screen display device (100) including a display unit (20) that is moved to freely slide in a lateral direction on the upper surface of the display unit (10) arranged under the display unit (20) by rotating the mechanisms 21a, 21b and 21c (see the abstract, the last sentence and Fig. 1 (100, 20, 10)).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the display system of a communicative watch shown in Fig. 1A to adapt a sliding movable display (20) as well as rotating mechanisms (21a, 21b 21c) as configured in Fig. 1 because the sliding movable display along with rotating mechanisms helps function a multiscreen display system as taught by Ryuji.

As to claims 10 and 30, Ryuji teaches said telecommunications unit is a cellular telephone (Fig. 1 (100), it would have been obvious that the multi-screen display (100) could be used in any display device).

As to claims 11 and 31, Ryuji teaches said telecommunications unit is a wireless personal digital assistant (Fig. 1 (100), it would have been obvious that the multi-screen display (100) could be used in any display device).

Art Unit: 2677

As to claims 12 and 32, Ryuji teaches telecommunications unit is wireless Internet Web based personal electronic organizer (Fig. 1 (100), it would have been obvious that the multi-screen display (100) could be used in any display device).

As to claims 13 and 33, Lebby et al. teach said screens are LCD's (Lebby et al., liquid crystal display, column 5, lines 62-63).

As to claim 16, Lebby et al. teach said at least one slidably attached screen is comprises at least one screen attached to a left side of said first screen (Lebby et al. display 27 is slidably attached to wrist face shown in figure 1 A).

As to claim 17, Lebby et al. teach said slidably attached screen is attached to a right side of said first screen (Lebby et al. display 27 is slidably attached to wrist face shown in figure 1 A).

As to claim 19, Lebby et al. teach at least one additional screen is coplanar with said first screen when said at least one additional screen is deployed. (Lebby et al. display 27 is coplanar with wrist face shown in figure 1A).

As to claim 20, Lebby et al. teach said first screen is powered by a first driver electronics circuitry controlled by imaging software and said at least one additional screen is powered by a separate driver electronics circuitry controlled by imaging software (see power source, Fig. 2).

As to claim 21, Lebby et al teach said first and said at least one additional screens are both powered by a common driver electronics circuitry controlled by imaging software (see power source, Fig. 2).

As to claim 22, Lebby et al. teach said first screen displays a first image powered by a first driver electronics circuitry controlled by imaging software and said alt least one additional

screen displays a second image powered by a second driver electronics circuitry controlled by imaging software (see power source, Fig. 2).

As to claim 23, Ryuji teaches said first and at least one additional screens display respective portions of a single image (see the abstract, display screens, 20, 30, 40).

As to claim 24, Ryuji teaches said opposite edges of said first screen are respective upper and lower edges of said first screen (see the abstract, display screens, 20, 30, 40).

As to claim 25, Ryuji teaches said at least one additional screen comprises a plurality of screens (see the abstract, display screens, 20, 30, 40).

As to claim 26, Ryuji teaches said at least one additional screen comprises at least one screen attaches to a left side of said first screen and at least one screen attached to a right side of said first screen (see the abstract, display screens, 20, 30, 40).

As to claim 27, Ryuji teaches said at least one additional screen comprises a plurality of screens attached to a right side of said first screen (see the abstract, display screens, 20, 30, 40).

S to claim 29, Ryuji teaches a grasping handle extending from a distal edge of said third screen accessible when said second and said at least one further screens are nested under said first screen for pulling said second and said at least one further screens outwardly to from said continuous screen (see the abstract. It would be obvious and is a matter of design choice to determine how the rotating the mechanisms 21a, 21b and 21c are grasped).

Application/Control Number: 09/823,300 Page 7

Art Unit: 2677

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Abbas I. Abdulselam whose telephone number is (571) 272-7685.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Amr Awad can be reached on (571) 272-7764. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Abbas Abdulselam

Examiner

Art Unit 2677

January 11, 2006

AMR A. AWAD
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Aum Almos Amen